

Empirical Analysis of Zero-Shot Learning Algorithms

Group 47

Course project CS771

November 26, 2018

- Zero-shot learning consists in learning how to recognise new concepts by just having a description of them
- Following Algorithms are selected for the empirical analysis of zero-shot learning algorithms
 - 1 CONSE
 - 2 SAE
 - 3 GFZSL
 - 4 ESZSL

Details of CONSE Algorithm

Semantic Autoencoder for ZSL

- Encoder Decoder paradigm is followed. Encoder projects feature space to semantic space and Decoder do vice-versa
- Constraint of being able to reconstruct (Decoder) the image features from semantic space will generalize the unseen new classes (Claimed in paper)
- Original paper used AWA1 dataset on **standard split** with 80.7% Accuracy
- Computing weight vector using 'Sylvesters Equation' $AW + WB = C$ where $A = SS^T$ and $B = \lambda XX^T$ and $(1 + \lambda)SX^T$
- Prediction using $\phi(y) = \arg \min Distance(s_i, S_Zj)$ where $s_i = Wx_i$ and S_Z is the semantic space of unseen class attributes
- Results on **preferred split** observed as follows: -

| | | | |
|------|------|------|------|
| AWA1 | AWA2 | CUB | SUN |
| 42.7 | 40.2 | 47.6 | 38.2 |

Generative Framework for ZSL (GFZL)

- Takes a generative modeling approach to the ZSL problem
- Modelling the class-conditional distributions of seen as well as unseen classes using exponential family distributions
- Further conditioning the parameters of these distributions on the respective class-attribute vectors via a linear/nonlinear regression model of choice
- Parameter estimation reduces to solving a linear/nonlinear regression problem (closed form soln. exists)
- Simple to implement
- Implemented the algorithm and tested it on AWA1, AWA2, CUB20 and SUN datasets with **preferred split** and result obtained are as follows: -

| AWA1 | AWA2 | CUB | SUN |
|------|------|------|------|
| 68.3 | 63.8 | 49.3 | 60.6 |

Embarrassingly Simple ZSL Algorithm

- This approach is based on a more general framework which models the relationships between features, attributes, and classes as an optimization problem
- Combines a linear model together with a principled choice of regularizers that allow for a simple and efficient implementation
- Original paper used AWA1 dataset and have accuracy of 49.30%
- We ran the experiment on AWA1, AWA2, CUB200 and SUN datasets with **preferred split** and result obtained are as follows: -

| AWA1 | AWA2 | CUB | SUN |
|------|------|-----|-----|
| | 42.7 | | |

Embarrassingly Simple ZSL Algorithm

Heading

- 1 Statement
- 2 Explanation
- 3 Example

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Integer lectus nisl, ultricies in feugiat rutrum, porttitor sit amet augue. Aliquam ut tortor mauris. Sed volutpat ante purus, quis accumsan dolor.

Table

| Treatments | Response 1 | Response 2 |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Treatment 1 | 0.0003262 | 0.562 |
| Treatment 2 | 0.0015681 | 0.910 |
| Treatment 3 | 0.0009271 | 0.296 |

Table: Table caption

Figure

Uncomment the code on this slide to include your own image from the same directory as the template .TeX file.

References



Norouzi, Mohammad and Mikolov, Tomas and Bengio, Samy and Singer, Yoram and Shlens, Jonathon and Frome, Andrea and Corrado, Greg S and Dean, Jeffrey (2013)

Zero-shot learning by convex combination of semantic embeddings
arXiv preprint 1312.5650.



Vinay Kumar Verma, Piyush Rai (2017)

A simple exponential family framework for zero-shot learning
Joint European Conference on Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases 792–808.



Romera-Paredes, Bernardino and Torr, Philip (2015)

An embarrassingly simple approach to zero-shot learning
International Conference on Machine Learning 2152–2161.



Kodirov, Elyor and Xiang, Tao and Gong, Shaogang (2017)

Semantic autoencoder for zero-shot learning
arXiv preprint 1704.08345.

Thanks